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Introduction

Two new services are provided at Singapore General Hospital, namely: Endobronchial Ultrasound Transbronchial Needle Aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) and Endobronchial Ultrasound Transbronchial Lung Biopsy (EBUS-TBLB). The procedure is done via flexible bronchoscopy under moderate sedation at the endoscopy center in an outpatient setting. EBUS has been proven in various clinical studies to be an accurate alternative procedure in the staging and diagnosis of lung cancer and is also known to result in fewer complications while producing better sensitivity and specificity than conventional procedures.

Methodology

Two decision analytic models (figure 1 and 2) were constructed to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of EBUS as compared to conventional diagnostic and staging modalities. The relationship between the clinical and economic implications of alternative modalities were modelled using data inputs that were relevant to the Singapore setting. Expert opinions were sought to ensure that the model appropriately reflect medical practice in Singapore. Conventional diagnostic modalities include: Thoracic Needle Aspiration (TTNA) for peripheral lung lesions, Transbronchial Lung Biopsy (TBLB) (with fluoroscopy) and other more invasive modalities such as Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery (VATS) or thoracotomy. Conventional staging modalities include Mediastinoscopy/Mediastinotomy.

Results

• For the base case analysis, TTNA was the most economical strategy ($3335) for the diagnosis of lung cancer as compared to the other options: TBLB ($3935) and EBUS-TBLB ($4856). However, there are limitations to TTNA including a high complication rate of 22% and up to an additional 4 days length of stay. This results in loss of productivity and income in an actively employed population. The impact of this to the society is complex and whilst important, was not included in this analysis as it is beyond the scope of this analysis.

• EBUS-TBNA resulted in an Incremental Cost Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) of $12,140 per positive staging of lung cancer as compared to Mediastinoscopy.

• One-way sensitivity analysis showed that TBLB was more economical than EBUS-TBLB provided the sensitivity of EBUS-TBLB remained below 90%. TTNA remained the least costly option unless almost 100% sensitivity can be achieved with EBUS-TBLB.

• For the staging of lung cancer, one-way sensitivity analysis showed that EBUS-TBNA was less costly than Mediastinoscopy, provided the sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA remained above 75%.
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